IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 4319 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

L G CHAUHAN

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 4319 of 1988

MR SHALIN N MEHTA for Petitioner No. 1

MR SUDHIR MEHTA, AGP for Respondent No. 1-2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

Date of decision: 22/01/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The learned Counsel Mr. Mehta appearing for the petitioner states that pending the petition the

petitioner has expired.

Upon the perusal of the papers, the relief prayed in the petition shows that the petitioner had prayed for direction to the respondent to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Deputy Director. When the petition came to be admitted on 19-12-1988, no interim relief was granted and therefore, since the petitioner has expired there is no question of directing the respondent to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion. Under these circumstances, the petition has become infructuous. Hence the petition is disposed of accordingly. Rule discharged.

(Jayant Patel, J.)
/malek